TECHNICAL COMPARISON: 100 mV/g vs. 50 mV/g ACCELEROMETERS



WHEN RELIABILITY MATTERS CONNECT TO CONFIDENCE



INTRODUCTION

There are several differences between accelerometers with 100 mVg sensitivity and those with 50 mV/g sensitivity.

The current standard sensitivity in the condition monitoring industry is 100 mV/g. This was not always the case - 50 mV/g was the industry-standard sensitivity before 100 mV/g replaced it.

Previously, 50 mV/g accelerometers were utilized as a standard in the condition monitoring industry for two reasons:

- Sensor technology limited 100 mV/g accelerometers to a dynamic range of ±50 g regardless of the readout instrumentation to which it was connected
- Readout devices (the predecessor to modern analyzers) were limited to a ±5 V full scale range, which limited a 100 mV/g accelerometer to 50 g's of vibration range (100 mV/g x 50 g = 5 V)

Taking these limitations into consideration, users of this technology in the past would achieve a wider dynamic range of 100 g's using a 50 mV/g accelerometer (50 mV/g x 100 g = 5 V) when compared to a 100 mV/g accelerometer.

As technology has improved, the industry standards have changed and we can compare modern sensor technology when utilized with the auto-scale capability of modern data analyzers.

The following comparison of 100 mV/g vs. 50 mV/g sensitivity accelerometers will use CTC's AC102 (100 mV/g) and AC117 (50 mV/g) accelerometers. The technical datasheets for AC102 and AC117 are shown on pages 3-4 and will be referenced for this comparison. These sensors have identical dimensions and weights, making them ideal for this comparison.















FREQUENCY RESPONSE

One of the primary characteristics when comparing an accelerometer is the frequency response range that each accelerometer is capable of accurately measuring. Each accelerometer has a standard sensitivity (100 mV/g or 50 mV/g) with a published tolerance to which it will generate an output to the stated sensitivity.

	AC102 (100 mV/g)	AC117 (50 mV/g)
±3 dB	.5 Hz - 15,000 Hz	1 Hz - 12,500 Hz
±10%	2 Hz - 10,000 Hz	4 Hz - 9,000 Hz

The above chart helps to understand which speeds each accelerometer sensitivity is effective at detecting. In both cases for lower frequency and for higher frequency, the 100 mV/g sensitivity is superior to the 50 mV/g sensitivity. To further specify, the AC102 (100 mV/g) accelerometer allows you to detect frequencies from 0.5 Hz - 1 Hz and 12,500 Hz - 15,000 Hz within a tolerance of ± 3 dB which is not a capability with the AC117 (50 mV/g) accelerometer.

DYNAMIC RANGE

The next characteristic we are going to look at is the dynamic range of each accelerometer. The dynamic range is the characteristics of the transducer that allows the electronic amplifier to pass signal from a given amount of vibration.

	Sensitivity	Dynamic Range
AC102	100 mV/g	±80 g, peak
AC117	50 mV/g	±100 g, peak

If we reflect on the earlier topic of legacy readout devices having a ± 5 V full scale range limit and combine this limitation with the ± 80 g, peak dynamic range of the AC102, the user would experience signal clipping. However, with modern technology and the ability of auto scaling through digital signal analyzers, the user will not experience signal clipping when utilizing a sensor like the AC102 that has a ± 80 g, peak dynamic range.



SPECTRAL NOISE

The last difference between both sensor technologies is spectral noise. This characteristic only affects the signal of the sensor at extreme low speeds. In a real-world setting, the user is likely to never face issues from spectral noise from either 100 mV/g or 50 mV/g accelerometers. However, for our comparison we will adventure into the differences.

	AC102 (100 mV/g)	AC117 (50 mV/g)
10 Hz	14 µg/√Hz	8 µg/√Hz
100 Hz	2.3 µg/√Hz	2.5 µg/√Hz
1,000 Hz	2 µg/√Hz	1.7 µg/√Hz

In conclusion, CTC's modern 100 mV/g accelerometer technology with ±80 g dynamic range allows the user to identify bearing faults at slower and higher speeds when utilized with modern analyzers. This helps users predict bearing failure in earlier stages when compared to past users utilizing 50 mV/g sensor technology with legacy readout devices.



